## A Potential Distribution Induced Mapping of Free Energies for Nonuniform Simple Fluids

Lloyd Lee <sup>C, S</sup>
California State University, Dept. of Chemical & Materials Eng., Pomona, California, U.S.A.
profllee@yahoo.com

Giuseppe Pellicane
University of Kwazulu-Natal, School of Physics, 3209 Pietermaritzburg, South Africa

The potential distribution theorem (PDT) is utilized to construct an effective density, the *pseudo-density*  $\rho_{pseudo}(z)$ , that enables mapping of the free energies of the uniform fluid exactly onto the nonuniform system values. In addition, a similar quantity, the *pseudo-chemical potential*  $\mu_{pseudo}(z)$ , is given as the chemical potential produced by the uniform equation of state upon using the nonuniform density  $\rho_w^{(1)}(z)$  as input. The PDT connects three quantities: the work  $W_{ins}(z)$  for inserting a test particle into the fluid, the chemical potential  $\mu_0$  of the bulk fluid, and the nonuniform singlet density  $\rho_w^{(1)}(z)$ . We perform Metropolis NVT ensemble Monte Carlo (MC) simulations to obtain the insertion work  $W_{ins}(z)$  (via Widom's particle insertion) and the densities  $\rho_w^{(1)}(z)$ . We illustrate the mapping on two simple fluids adsorbed on a hard wall: the Lennard-Jones and the attractive Yukawa fluids. We characterize the behavior of the effective density and the pseudo-chemical potential vis-à-vis the cases of enhancement and depletion of the fluid density near the wall. These quantities ( $\rho_{pseudo}$  &  $\mu_{pseudo}$ ) are found to exhibit for enhanced adsorption out-of-phase oscillations compared to  $\rho_w^{(1)}(z)$  and  $\theta W_{ins}(z)$ . For depleted adsorption, we do not observe oscillations and the trends of  $\rho_{pseudo}$  and  $\mu_{pseudo}$  are in good agreement with those of  $\mu_{pseudo}$  and  $\mu_{pseudo}$  are in good agreement with those of  $\mu_{pseudo}$  and  $\mu_{pseudo}$  are in good agreement with those of  $\mu_{pseudo}$  and  $\mu_{pseudo}$  are in good agreement with those of  $\mu_{pseudo}$  and  $\mu_{pseudo}$  are in good agreement with those of  $\mu_{pseudo}$  and  $\mu_{pseudo}$  are in good agreement with those of  $\mu_{pseudo}$  and  $\mu_{pseudo}$  are in good agreement with those of  $\mu_{pseudo}$  and  $\mu_{pseudo}$  are in good agreement with those of  $\mu_{pseudo}$  and  $\mu_{pseudo}$  are in good agreement with those of  $\mu_{pseudo}$  and  $\mu_{pseudo}$  are in good agreement with those of  $\mu_{pseudo}$  and  $\mu_{pse$